

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/828,866              | 04/21/2004      | Terrence S. Lake        | DET1725             | 5687             |
| 30245                   | 7590 06/24/2005 |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
| ANTHONY EDW. J CAMPBELL |                 |                         | PUROL, DAVID M      |                  |
| PO BOX 160              |                 |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| AUSTIN, T               | X 78716         |                         |                     | FAFER NOMBER     |
|                         |                 |                         | 3634                |                  |
|                         |                 | DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)      |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/828,866  | LAKE, TERRENCE S. |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit          |  |  |  |  |
|  | David M. Purol  | 3634              |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 April 2004</u> .  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |                   |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>  | 4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:   |                   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/828,866

Art Unit: 3634

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of legal phraseology "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

34,40,42,44,54,56.

Page 2

The drawings are further objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the stiles each having a pattern of coplanar holes, the rails each having a pattern of coplanar holes, the first and second ends each having a threaded hole, thumbscrews in the threaded holes that advance into the hole within the stile and the rail, the plates having a plurality of teeth, the plates attached to the ends by screws, the plates attached to the ends by rivets, the plates attached to the ends by adhesive, the teeth having a generally triangular shape with the base of the triangular shape coaxial with the longitudinal axis of the plate must be shown or the features are to be canceled from the claims. No new matter can be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,866

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 6,13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. These claims contains subject matter which was not illustrated in the drawings and described in conjunction with the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims recite the following for which its intended meaning is not understood:

Claims 1 and 10 each at line 4 "perpendicular to the horizon upon installation";

Claims 1 and 10 each at lines 8-9 "parallel to the horizon upon installation";

Claim 11, line 9 "folds into";

Claim 13, line 7 "remains fast".

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,866

Art Unit: 3634

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Perrin. Perrin discloses a window screen which excludes insects and creatures from an opening comprising rails and stiles 11, and elbows 3 which are spring 19 loaded. The act of measuring an opening and cutting the respective components of the screen to fit therein would have been an obvious methodology inasmuch

Page 4

6. Claims 1-5,7-12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

as this is indigenous to installations of window screens per se.

7. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure: Lucchetti, Durr, Sherwood, Vidal, Beatriz et al, Fischer, Pace,

Hammill, Zimmerman, Brandt, Waller, Rollings.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M. Purol at

telephone number (571) 272-6833.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634